
A WSN (wireless sensor network) for controlling long distance actuator control based on Environmental condition using local IP management system.

Abstract: This paper is based on a wireless sensor networking where the data taken from the sensors are sent to a local database using a local server. In this paper, the authors focus on real time environment monitoring system with respect to controlling the actuator system. Two sensors in this project viz DHT 11(Temperature and Humidity sensor) and MQ-6(LPG Gas sensor) are used. A suitable controller needs to be selected which will in effect achieve desired system characteristics, such as high-speed precision and meet high speed requirements in precision control motion. That is why, here ESP-8266 based NodeMCU is used. Moreover, feedback as well as feedforward control system have also been applied. Basing on the data derived from the sensors, actuator system can be turned on and off automatically by the controller and it is highly time efficient. This paper also makes a precise distinction between conventional systems and the system that is used in this research, an IP (internet protocol) based monitoring system where the data collected from the sensors can be monitored even without the internet access. The total system is a solar driven system. Thus, in case of a power shut-down, there will be no hindrances to the functionality of the whole system since it is solar powered.

Keywords: DHT 11; MQ-6; NodeMCU; ESP-8266; IP

Introduction: A wireless sensor network is group of distributed and spatially dispersed sensors that can observe environmental and physical condition of a surrounding such as light, temperature, gas concentration and so on which is collected from a central hub. This technique between the hub and network can be flooding or routing. Data, taken from each sensor which are sent through the network that is called a node. [1-3]. At present the sensor-based system is gaining substantial popularity mainly because of the low cost and easy availability. Automation system is taking the whole world by a storm, marking its presence in all entities. Implementing an environmental monitoring system which is automated, takes constant data monitoring and is used for data observation. In this paper, real-time condition, more precisely temperature and humidity are monitored through a local IP that does need any access to the internet. Thus. it can be a highly effective way of monitoring and is very time efficient. For detecting temperature and humidity of the surrounding atmosphere, a type of sensor called DTH-11 is used and it is readily available all around the globe. precise, Arduino is a very befitting hardware that is user friendly and also has an individual IDE named as the same hardware. But using an Arduino here would be costly as well as time consuming. Therefore, we will be using NodeMCU, it consists of an ESP8266 Wi-fi along with a microcontroller with 1 analog and 12 pins. Thus, it is more compatible than an Arduino and for this module the date rate is verily effective and more precise.



(a)A wireless sensor network

Methodology and Materials:

In this article, the ESP-8266 based NodeMCU was used. The various data accomplishment system of Raspberry-pi or Arduino is mother controller but using NodeMCU gives the advantage of using an Arduino together with a 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi module. As this project was a demo project and required far more inquiry in the real practice so, Jumper wires and breadboard were used instead of PCB design to test the tasks. The of materials used for this research is given below along with specifications in Table 1.

Table **1** : List of materials and their power specification

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Components | Product name | Voltage Rating | Current Rating |
| Sensors | DHT-11 | 3.3-5 V (DC) | 200 mA |
| MQ-6 | 3.3-5 V (DC) | 200-300 mA |
| Actuator | Pump | 12 V(DC) | 1 A |
| Solenoid valve | 12 V(DC) | 1 A |
| Controller | NodeMCU v1.0 | 5-12 V(DC) | 2.1 A |
| Relay | 4 channel 5 V Relay | 5 V(DC)/ 250 V(AC) | 5-10 A |

The methodology section is divided into two section

1. Hardware platform:

The hardware platform consists of sensor node and the implementation of solar panel, which is used to supply the power to the controller.

The ESP8266 humidity-temperature sensor node uses the Digital DHT-11 (Humidity and Temperature) sensor, which is connected to the ESP8266 modules GPIO (General Purpose Input Output) pin SD1. DHT11 sensor is used to collect the raw humidity and temperature data. It is a basic temperature and capacitive humidity sensor which works on 3-5 V power. MQ-6 (LPG gas) sensor is connected to the analog pin A0. The sensor node has a wireless connection with a router where the router works as a gateway in the star topology network.

ESP8266 is driven by the 3.7V battery which is plugged in the battery input port to the Solar Lipo Charger. Solar cells are plugged in the PWR in the port, while the ESP8266 Vin and GND port are plugged in to the Solar Lipo Charger port.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| (a) Schematic diagram of a solar panel | (b) Schematic diagram of sensor along with controller |

## Software Platform:

Coding of the project was done by using Arduino IDE. The code was written in C and C++ programming language. Arduino IDE is a free platform for coding.

For storing data to the database MySQL database was used. It is a free database platform for the use of students.

# Data Acquisition and Storage:

The software service running on the server performs the following three tasks  
(a) acquiring the data and  
(b) storing the data.

(c) actuation state control

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| (a)Web interface of the Server | (b)Home page of the webpage |

The webpage hosting the controller is accessible from anywhere in the server, once the controller is driven and linked together. The TCP packet sensor information are stored in a structured manner in a MySQL database. This sensor information is stored in the MySQL database with a designated sensor ID, sensor channel and date and moment to allow the designed webpage to access such data.

(1) Sensor ID  
(2) Sensor Channel  
(3) Date and time  
(4) Sample Data  
The data stored in the database can be viewed in the webpage.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| (a)Stored Sensor data | (b)The actuator controlling state |

# Numerical Analysis:

1. Analysis For MQ-6 data into PPM

MQ-6 is an analog sensor, which provide analog data with respect to voltage. So, the voltage should convert into the ppm (parts per million value) because gas concentration is measured in ppm


As the system focuses on gas concentration-based alerting and control of appliances, thus only MQ-6 gas sensor calibration is considered for the mathematical analysis. DHT-11 sensor is to for checking the conditional Temperature and Relative Humidity.

Let, VC = Supply Voltage = +5V

RS = Sensor Resistance

RL = Load Resistance (Variable)

Vout = Sensor output Voltage

From, Current flow and Voltage relationship,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (1.1) |

Resistance of the sensor () is defined in datasheet [19] of MQ-6 as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

Fresh air resistance ration for gas sensors: [22]

Data analyzing from RS/R0 vs ppm graph: From the equations of a straight line,

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| y = mx + b |  |

Here, y = value on Y-axis; x = value on X-axis; m = Slope of line; b = Intercept from Y-axis

For log-log plot equation-(5) becomes:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

Note: the log is base 10.

Slope (m) Value formula: If (x0, y0) and (x, y) are any two points of a line from a log-log plot then the formula for determining m is below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (1.2) |

Intercept from Y-axis (b) Value formula:

From, equation-(1.2);

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Or, | (1.3) |

Using equations-(1) to (8) the gas concentration can bedetermined directly in ppm (parts per millions)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (1.4) |

1. Calculation of the solar PV energy output of a photovoltaic cell

A solar panel was constructed which was used for powering the controller. A dimension about 11.5cm 6 cm rectangular solar plate was used to make the solar panel.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Formula for Solar PV energy output:** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| E = Energy (kWh) | 1 kWh/an |
| A = Total solar panel Area (m²) | 0.0069 m² |
| r = solar panel yield (%) | 15% |
| H = Annual average irradiation on tilted panel (shadings not included) | 1250 kWh/m².an |
| PR = Performance ratio, coefficient for losses (range between 0.9 and 0.5, default value =0.75) | 0.75 |

# Result:

## (a)Sensor data calibration

From the MQ-6 datasheet we have obtained the value of m and b

Slope, m

And b

And sensor resistance =5.62

Using equation (1.4) the further calculation was analyzed.

Table **2** Raw voltage values received through the MQ-6 sensor and corresponding temperature and humidity values:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Time | Temperature (in °C) | Humidity (in %) | Analog value |
| 1 | 13:19:41 | 30 | 61 | 533.15 |
| 2 | 13:19:36 | 30 | 61 | 533.48 |
| 3 | 13:19:31 | 30 | 61 | 532.48 |
| 4 | 13:19:25 | 30 | 61 | 528.04 |
| 5 | 13:19:20 | 30 | 61 | 532.48 |
| 6 | 13:19:15 | 30 | 61 | 532.48 |
| 7 | 13:19:10 | 30 | 61 | 528.04 |
| 8 | 13:19:05 | 30 | 61 | 528.04 |
| 9 | 13:18:59 | 30 | 61 | 528.04 |
| 10 | 13:18:54 | 30 | 61 | 528.04 |

Table **3** Raw voltage converted to resistance value and then the corresponding PPM, was previously calibrated

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Time | Resistance () | PPM |
| 1 | 13:19:41 | 9187.764 | 325 |
| 2 | 13:19:36 | 9175.828 | 316 |
| 3 | 13:19:31 | 9211.781 | 313 |
| 4 | 13:19:25 | 9373.252 | 310 |
| 5 | 13:19:20 | 9211.781 | 313 |
| 6 | 13:19:15 | 9211.781 | 313 |
| 7 | 13:19:10 | 9373.252 | 310 |
| 8 | 13:19:05 | 9373.252 | 310 |
| 9 | 13:18:59 | 9373.252 | 310 |
| 10 | 13:18:54 | 9373.252 | 310 |

Table **4** The Temperature and Humidity correction factor is used and the Corrected Resistance and subsequently the Corrected PPM is evaluated: [69]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Time | Resistance Corrected | Corrected PPM | Correction Factor |
| 1 | 13:19:41 | 7177.940 | 582.55 | 1.28 |
| 2 | 13:19:36 | 7168.615 | 584.34 | 1.28 |
| 3 | 13:19:31 | 7196.703 | 578.96 | 1.28 |
| 4 | 13:19:25 | 7338.478 | 552.87 | 1.28 |
| 5 | 13:19:20 | 7196.703 | 578.96 | 1.28 |
| 6 | 13:19:15 | 7196.703 | 578.96 | 1.28 |
| 7 | 13:19:10 | 7338.478 | 552.87 | 1.28 |
| 8 | 13:19:05 | 7338.478 | 552.87 | 1.28 |
| 9 | 13:18:59 | 7338.478 | 552.87 | 1.28 |
| 10 | 13:18:54 | 7338.478 | 552.87 | 1.28 |

### (b)System Performance Analysis

A total of 20 tests were done to develop a system performance analysis. The tests have also been researched effectively and no substantial error has been observed. The Table 5 below indicates the findings of the performance test. The commands were performed in this study using the cloud server followed by actuation observation using the experimental setup mentioned in Fig earlier in this thread for IoT (Internet of things) and also for the Local server. For the feedback control option, the reference value was set at 300 PPM.

Table **5** Comparison between IoT and Local server based Actuator Control time.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No of Obs.** | **Gas Concentration**  **In PPM** | **Actuator Response** | **Elapsed Time in IoT (sec)** | **Elapsed Time in Local IP System(sec)** | **Experimentation** |
| 1 | 170 | 0 | 0 (start) | 0 | N/C |
| 2 | 173 | 0 | 15.3 | 3 | N/C |
| 3 | 211 | 0 | 20 | 2 | N/C |
| 4 | 288 | 0 | 11 | 1.52 | N/C |
| 5 | 316 | 1 | 7.5 | 1.71 | Success |
| 6 | 375 | 1 | N/C | N/C | Success |
| 7 | 402 | 1 | N/C | 2.61 | Success |
| 8 | 287 | 0 | 12 | 1.54 | N/C |
| 9 | 255 | 0 | N/C | N/C | N/C |
| 10 | 221 | 0 | 15.7 | 1.32 | N/C |
| 11 | 300 | 1 | N/C | 1.77 | Success |
| 12 | 300 | 1 | 13.4 | 1.67 | Success |
| 13 | 302 | 1 | N/C | N/C | Success |
| 14 | 380 | 1 | N/C | 1.90 | Success |
| 15 | 293 | 0 | 12 | 1.47 | N/C |
| 16 | 319 | 1 | N/C | 1.58 | Success |
| 17 | 327 | 1 | 18 | 1.97 | Success |
| 18 | 289 | 0 | 8 | N/C | N/C |
| 19 | 294 | 0 | 11 | 1.89 | N/C |
| 20 | 277 | 0 | 5 | 1.56 | N/C |

All the analysis indicates that the entire system operates like a program free of errors. Even though the processing time of the records is a bit long (about 13 sec for IoT) beside this the response time for the Local IP based proposed system is less but the behavior of the device seemed good. In case of IoT using manual Api and custom server, this system execution delay can be designed specifically. But in fabricated Local IP based system it doesn’t require any third-party server because the web server is designed particularly for the WSN network. This fabricated system can be used to reduce the loss during fire inflation in home and industrial accidents caused by gas leakage. In factories, there are different types of poisonous-hydrocarbon-based gases in the food, chemical and pesticide industries (might be able to ignite fire). Which may cause a threat for huge people. In that case the fabricated system will work properly to reduce the loss immediately.

# Conclusion:

The system was fabricated effectively and 20 tests were conducted to evaluate its efficiency. In those 20 experiments, which took about three hours to run, there were no mistakes. When signals from the host server were sent, the control system took almost 1 second (approximately) to execute required commands. From analyzed signals the impulse period and the group delay period seemed quite perfect if the Baud Rate (Sampling Frequency) tuning ranges from 11 to 12.6 kHz. The sample frequency was 11.52 kHz in this dissertation and the gas sensor energy density range was pretty nice, although the noise signal percentage was slightly high. The 20-pF ceramic unit condenser can overcome that.

# References:

1. M. M. Amin, M. A. A. Nugratama, A. Maseleno, M. Huda, and K. A. Jasmi, “Design of cigarette disposal blower and automatic freshner using mq-5 sensor based on atmega 8535 microcontroller,” *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1108–1113, 2018.
2. N. S. Desai and J. S. R. Alex, “Iot based air pollution monitoring and predictor system on beagle bone black,” in *2017 International Conference on Nextgen Electronic Technologies: Silicon to Software (ICNETS2)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 367–370.
3. K. Keshamoni and S. Hemanth, “Smart gas level monitoring, booking & gas leakage detector  
   over iot,” in *2017 IEEE 7th International Advance Computing Conference (IACC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 330–332.
4. J.-Y. Kim, C.-H. Chu, and S.-M. Shin, “Issaq: An integrated sensing systems for real-time indoor air quality monitoring,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 14, no. 12, pp. 4230–4244, 2014.
5. J. Dutta and S. Roy, “Iot-fog-cloud based architecture for smart city: Prototype of a smart building,” in *2017 7th International Conference on Cloud Computing, Data Science & EngineeringConfluence*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 237–242.
6. S. I. Sabilla, R. Sarno, and J. Siswantoro, “Estimating gas concentration using artificial neural  
   network for electronic nose,” *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 124, pp. 181–188, 2017.
7. N. Savitha and S. Malathi, “A survey on fire safety measures for industry safety using iot,”  
   in *2018 3rd International Conference on Communication and Electronics Systems (ICCES)*.  
   IEEE, 2018, pp. 1199–1205.
8. S. Koduru, P. Prasad Reddy, and P. Padala, “Integrated disaster management and smart insurance using cloud and internet of things,” *Int. J. Eng. Technol*, vol. 7, p. 341, 2018.
9. A. Mahalingam, R. Naayagi, and N. Mastorakis, “Design and implementation of an economic  
   gas leakage detector,” *Recent Researches in Applications of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, pp. 20–24, 2012.
10. V. V. Alekseev, V. S. Konovalova, and E. N. Sedunova, “Information-measurement and control system “smart house” as object of practice-oriented training of master’s degree “instrumentation technology”,” in *2017 International Conference” Quality Management, Transport and Information Security, Information Technologies”(IT&QM&IS)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 612–615.
11. D.-D. Lee and D.-S. Lee, “Environmental gas sensors,” *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp.214–224, 2001.
12. M. Kukade, A. J. Moshayedi, and D. Gharpure, “Electronic-nose (e-nose) for recognition of cardamom, nutmeg and clove oil odor,” *Electron. its Interdiscip. Appl.(NCAEIA-2014)*, 2014.
13. J. Kwok and Y. Sun, “A smart iot-based irrigation system with automated plant recognition using deep learning,” in *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation*. ACM, 2018, pp. 87–91. 60
14. A. Karim, A. Hassan, M. Akanda *et al.*, “Monitoring food storage humidity and temperature data using iot,” *MOJ Food Process Technol*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 400–404, 2018.
15. C. K. Amuzuvi, “Design of an electronic wireless communication-based real time alert liquefied petroleum gas leakage detector,” *Ghana Journal of Technology*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 7–19, 2017.
16. P. Sethi and S. R. Sarangi, “Internet of things: architectures, protocols, and applications,” *Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 2017, 2017.
17. Y. Tsang, K. Choy, C. Wu, G. Ho, H. Lam, and P. Koo, “An iot-based cargo monitoring system for enhancing operational effectiveness under a cold chain environment,” *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, vol. 9, p. 1847979017749063, 2017.
18. P. Jokar and V. C. Leung, “Intrusion detection and prevention for zigbee-based home area networks in smart grids,” *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1800–1811, 2016.
19. A. E. Cohen, “Automated hdl signal processing deployment performance from high level matlab specification for an unmanned aerial vehicle (uav),” in *2018 IEEE 8th Annual Computing and Communication Workshop and Conference (CCWC)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 900–905.
20. A. O. Ercan, M. O. Sunay, and I. F. Akyildiz, “Rf energy harvesting and transfer for spectrum sharing cellular iot communications in 5g systems,” *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 1680–1694, 2017.
21. Mallik, A., Hossain, S. A., Karim, A. B., & Hasan, S. M. (2019). Development of LOCAL-IP based Environmental Condition Monitoring using Wireless Sensor Network. *International Journal of Sensors, Wireless Communications and Control*, *9*, 1-8.
22. Avijit Mallik, Abid Ahsan, M. M. Z. Shahadat and J. C. Tsou. “Man-in-the-middle-attack: Understanding in simple words.” Int. J. Data Networks and Security, (2019)